Independent Auditor’s Report

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City and County of San Francisco, California, (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City’s management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the San Francisco International Airport, Water Department, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power, San Francisco Municipal Railway, the Parking Garage Corporations, Clean Water Program, Port of San Francisco, City of San Francisco Market Corporation, City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, Employees’ Retirement System, Health Service System, and the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, which collectively represent the following percentages of assets, net assets/fund balances and revenues as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion Unit</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Net Assets/ Fund Balances</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governmental activities</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business-type activities</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretely presented component units</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Transportation Agency enterprise fund</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate remaining fund information</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for those entities, is based on the reports of the other auditors. The prior year partial and summarized comparative information has been derived from the City’s 2003 basic financial statements and the report of other auditors dated January 30, 2004, expressed unqualified opinions on the respective financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the reports of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.
In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of June 30, 2004, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, where applicable, thereof and the respective budgetary comparison for the General Fund for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The financial statements include partial or summarized prior year comparative information. Such prior year information does not include all of the information required to constitute a presentation in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the City’s financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2003, from which such partial or summarized information was derived.

The management’s discussion and analysis and schedules of funding progress listed in the accompanying table of contents are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We and the other auditors have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we and the other auditors did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining fund financial statements and the statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The combining fund financial statements have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.
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